

Modeling in Prop. Logic

- Representation of English statement using variables \& operators
ex) If today is wed, and today is not a holiday, then we have class
$P:$ "Today is Wed"
$Q:$ "Today is a holiday"
$R$ : "We have class"
MODEL: $P \wedge \neg Q \rightarrow P$
ex) If it is raining and you do not have an umbrella then you will get wet.
CONTRAPOSITIVE:
If you did not get wet it is not raining and you have a umbrella

Using Truth Table to derive Prop. Formulas ex) $P \vee(\neg P \wedge Q) \equiv P \vee Q$ | $P$ | $\neg P$ | $Q$ | $(\neg P \wedge Q)$ | $P \vee(\neg P \wedge Q)$ | $P V Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |  |
| $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

ex) $P \oplus(Q \wedge R) \equiv(P \oplus Q) \wedge(P \oplus R)$

Important Laws in Prop. Logic

| Name | Law |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Identity Laws | $T \wedge P \equiv P$ |
| $F \vee P \equiv P$ |  | | 2. Domination Laws | $T \vee P \equiv T$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $F \wedge P \equiv F$ |
| 3. Idempotence | $P \vee P \equiv P$ |
| Law | $P \wedge P \equiv P$ |
| 4. Tautology Law | $P \vee \neg P \equiv T$ |
| 5. Contradiction Law | $P \wedge \neg P \equiv F$ |
| 6. Implication Law | $P \rightarrow Q \equiv \neg P \vee Q$ |
| 7. Contrapositive Law | $P \rightarrow Q \equiv \neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$ |
| 8. DeMosgan's Laws | $\neg(P \wedge Q) \equiv \neg P \vee \neg Q$ |
| 9. Commutative Laws | $P \wedge Q \equiv(P \vee Q) \equiv \neg P \wedge \neg Q$ |



Using Logical Operators to derive Pop. Formulas
ex)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P \vee(\neg P \wedge Q) \equiv P \vee Q \\
& P \vee(\neg P \wedge Q) \stackrel{\text { Dist. }}{\doteq}(P \vee \neg P) \wedge(P \vee Q) \\
& \equiv \frac{\text { Taut. }}{T} \wedge(P \vee Q) \\
& \text { Identify } \\
& \equiv P \vee Q
\end{aligned}
$$

ex)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{\text { implication }}{P \wedge Q} \rightarrow P \equiv T \quad \text { (io a tautology) } \\
& \neg(P \wedge Q) \vee P \\
& \text { Demergan's } \\
& \text { (TPVIQ) Q } P \\
& (\neg Q \vee \neg P) \vee P \\
& \neg Q \vee(\neg P \vee P) \\
& \rightarrow Q \vee \frac{\text { Tautology }}{T} \\
& { }^{\text {nomination }} \equiv \text { T }
\end{aligned}
$$

Propositional Logic in CS
Java Code:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } \frac{\left(x<0<\frac{\pi R}{P}\left(\frac{x>=0}{\neg P} \infty_{A N D}^{\infty} y==43\right)\right.}{Q} \\
& \text { In } P \vee(\neg P \wedge Q)
\end{aligned}
$$

Homework:
https://u.osu.edu/alzalg.1/files/2019/08/HW2.pdf

